

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,986	06/30/2003	Max Lerman	26111-10281	1125
21788 RYNDAK & S	7590 07/10/200 SURTITE	EXAMINER		
200 W. MADI		BROWN, MICHAEL A		
SUITE 2100 CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/609,986	LERMAN, MAX	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL BROWN	3772	

	MICHAEL BICOVIII	0112						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 20 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any serined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		ΓE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below.		di inima na nimatifi ina si	a lancina for					
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially rec	adding or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 		mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pror The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
	/Michael Brown/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3772						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation pertaining to the hinge being positionable proximate the first "opposed" knee compartment, is newly presented in claim 1.